#### SAMPLE

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

UNITE	ED STATES	OF AMERICA,	)	CR. NO. XX-00000-01 HG
			)	
		Plaintiff,	)	STIPULATION AND ORDER CONTINUING
			)	TRIAL DATE AND EXCLUDING
	VS.		)	TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL
			)	ACT
JOHN	SMITH,	(01)	)	
			)	OLD TRIAL DATE: June 12, 2006
		Defendant.	)	NEW TRIAL DATE: July 13, 2006
			)	

# STIPULATION CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

A. The United States of America and the Defendant,

John Smith, through their respective attorneys, hereby agree and
stipulate to continue the trial in this case and to exclude the
time period from [date of hearing or stipulation] and the new
trial date from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. The
reason(s) for the continuance is (are):

B. The parties further agree that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the Defendant and the public in a speedy trial, and [check all that apply, but per the statute 2 and 3 cannot both be checked]:

1.	The failure to grant such a continuance would be				
like	ely				
	to make a continuation of such proceeding				
impo	ossible				
	to result in a miscarriage of justice.				
2.	The case is so				
	unusual				
	complex				
due	to				
	the number of defendants				
	the nature of the prosecution				
	the existence of novel questions of fact or law				
that	t it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation				
for	pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within				
the	time limits established by the Speedy Trial Act.				
3.	The failure to grant the continuance would				
	deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain				
	counsel				
	unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of				
	counsel				
	unreasonably deny the government continuity of				
	counsel				

deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable
time necessary for effective preparation, taking
into account the exercise of due diligence
deny counsel for the government the reasonable
time necessary for effective preparation, taking
into account the exercise of due diligence.
4. (Other factors considered)
C. The parties further agree that the period of time
from [date of hearing or stipulation] to and including [new trial
date], constitutes a period of delay which shall be excluded in
computing the time within which the trial in this case must
commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.
§§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (h)(8)(B).
DATED, 2007, at Honolulu, Hawaii.
FLORENCE T. NAKAKUNI United States Attorney District of Hawaii
By [AUSA] Assistant U.S. Attorney
MARY JONES, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant JOHN SMITH

## ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL AND EXCLUDING SPEEDY TRIAL ACT TIME

The above Stipulation Continuing Trial Date And Excluding Time Under the Speedy Trial Act is hereby approved, and the agreements set forth in paragraphs A, B, and C of the Stipulation are adopted as findings by the court. For the reasons stated, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- (1) the jury selection and trial are set for [date];
- (2) the final pretrial conference is set for [date] at 10:00 a.m.;
- (3) [if applicable] defense motions are due on [date],
  and the government's responses are due on [date].

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period of time from [date of hearing or stipulation] to and including [new trial date], constitutes a period of delay which shall be excluded in computing the time within which the trial in this case must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. \$\\$ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (h)(8)(B).

DATED \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2007, at Honolulu, Hawaii.

/s/Helen Gillmor Chief United States District Judge